

# ELECTRICITY & MAGNETISM

Supplement and recapitulatory notes

*by*

M.G. KĒNIŅŠ



University of Groningen

GRONINGEN, 2025

## CONTENTS

Chapter I. <b>Mathematical preliminaries</b> .....	3
§ 1. Recapitulation of vector calculus .....	3
§ 2. Important theorems .....	4
§ 3. Formalisation of the Dirac delta.....	5
§ 4. Conservative vector fields .....	5
Chapter II. <b>Electrostatics</b> .....	7
§ 5. Electric field .....	7
§ 6. Curl and potential of the electric field .....	9

CHAPTER I  
MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES

§1. **Recapitulation of vector calculus**

Differentiation rules for vector functions may be found on the first/last cover page of Griffiths and will be often used:

$$\mathbf{A} \times (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{C}) - \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \quad (1.1)$$

$$\nabla(fg) = f\nabla g + g\nabla f \quad (1.2)$$

$$\nabla(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{A} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{B} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) + (\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{A} \quad (1.3)$$

$$\nabla \cdot (f\mathbf{A}) = f\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla f \quad (1.4)$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \quad (1.5)$$

$$\nabla \times (f\mathbf{A}) = f\nabla \times \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A} \times \nabla f \quad (1.6)$$

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) = (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{A} - (\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) - \mathbf{B}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) \quad (1.7)$$

$$\nabla \times \nabla f = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = 0 \quad (1.9)$$

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} \quad (1.10)$$

*1.1 Remark.* Note that  $(\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B}$  is read as first taking the dot product of  $\mathbf{A}$  with  $\nabla$ , obtaining an operator  $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  on the vector  $\mathbf{B}$ :

$$(\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B} = \left( A_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + A_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + A_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \mathbf{B}.$$

In spherical or cylindrical coordinates the gradient, divergence, and curl must be expressed in the coordinates using partial derivatives; the  $\hat{x}$  component:  $\partial f(r, \phi, \theta)/\partial x = (\partial f/\partial r)(\partial r/\partial x)$  using the chain rule. NB! the second partial term is not identity. And then substitute the  $x, y, z$  partials into the expressions for  $r, \phi, \theta$  directions:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{r} \\ \hat{\theta} \\ \hat{\phi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi \sin \theta & \sin \phi \sin \theta & \cos \theta \\ \cos \phi \cos \theta & \sin \phi \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{x} \\ \hat{y} \\ \hat{z} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.11)$$

Line and surface integrals are evaluated as follows: let  $\gamma(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a parametrisation of the line  $C$  and  $\gamma(s, t)$  a parametrisation of the surface  $S$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C f dl &= \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t)) |\gamma'(t)| dt, & \int_S f da &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(\gamma(s, t)) \left| \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} \times \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t} \right| ds dt, \\ \int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{l} &= \int_0^1 \mathbf{F}(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) dt, & \int_S \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{a} &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mathbf{F}(\gamma(s, t)) \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial s} \times \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t} \right) ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Upon changing coordinates to polar/cylindrical or spherical, the differential term must be multiplied by a modulus of the Jacobian:  $r$  for cylindrical,  $r^2 \sin \theta$  for spherical.

## §2. Important theorems

**2.1 Theorem** (Fundamental theorem of calculus). *Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function such that the derivative  $f'$  is Riemann-integrable. Then*

$$\int_a^b f'(x) dx = f(b) - f(a). \quad (2.1)$$

*2.2 Remark.* The condition that the derivative is integrable is necessary! There exist differentiable functions whose derivative is not integrable; these are, however, quite pathological.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $f'$  is integrable, there exists a partition  $P_\epsilon$  of the interval  $[a, b]$  into smaller subintervals  $P_\epsilon = \{[a = x_0, x_1], [x_1, x_2], \dots, [x_{n-1}, x_n = b]\}$ , such that the upper and lower Riemann sums

$$U(P_\epsilon, f) = \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - x_{k-1}) \cdot \sup_{x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x)$$

$$L(P_\epsilon, f) = \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - x_{k-1}) \cdot \inf_{x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x)$$

differ by less than epsilon:  $U(P_\epsilon, f) - L(P_\epsilon, f) < \epsilon$ ; since the integral is the smallest (infimum) possible upper sum and largest lower sum, we have  $L(P_\epsilon, f) \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq U(P_\epsilon, f)$ . Now, letting  $s_k \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$  be arbitrary, we have  $\inf_{x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x) \leq f(s_k) \leq \sup_{x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x)$ , thus it follows that  $L(P_\epsilon, f) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n f(s_k)(x_k - x_{k-1}) \leq U(P_\epsilon, f)$ . Finally, taking the difference of the two inequalities, we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n f(s_k)(x_k - x_{k-1}) - \int_a^b f(x) dx \right| < \epsilon. \quad (2.2)$$

All that is left to do is to supply  $s_k$ . By the mean value theorem on any interval  $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$  there exists  $t_k \in (x_{k-1}, x_k)$  such that  $f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1}) = f'(t_k)(x_k - x_{k-1})$ ; this gives a telescoping (adjacent terms cancel each other) finite<sup>1</sup> sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^n f'(t_k)(x_k - x_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1}) = f(b) - f(a).$$

Therefore taking  $s_k = t_k$ , it follows by (2.2) that  $\left| f(b) - f(a) - \int_a^b f(x) dx \right| < \epsilon$  for any arbitrarily small  $\epsilon$ ; it follows (e.g. by limiting  $\epsilon = 1/n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ ) that the difference is zero, hence  $f(b) - f(a) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$ .  $\square$

*2.3 Remark.* The theorem generalises to derivatives (gradients<sup>2</sup>) of functions  $\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (typically  $m = 3$  in physics) under line integrals:  $\int_{\gamma: \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}} \nabla f \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell} = f(\mathbf{b}) - f(\mathbf{a})$ .

We now present two theorems of multivariable calculus, the (intuitive) proofs of which may be found in Appendix A of Griffiths.

**2.4 Theorem** (Gauss's divergence theorem). *Let  $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a differentiable vector function in a volume  $V$  and integrable over the closed boundary  $\partial V$  of the volume. Then*

$$\int_V (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) d\tau = \oint_{\partial V} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{a}. \quad (2.3)$$

<sup>1</sup>For infinite sums absolute convergence is needed. Working with finite sums is identical to working with just a single + (sum).

<sup>2</sup>A gradient  $\nabla f$  is a linear map of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , namely,  $(\nabla f)\mathbf{v} = (\nabla f) \cdot \mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

**2.5 Theorem** (Stokes theorem). *Let  $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a differentiable vector function on a surface  $S$  and integrable over the closed boundary  $\partial S$  of the surface. Then*

$$\int_S (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \oint_{\partial S} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{l}. \quad (2.4)$$

*2.6 Remark.* Stokes theorem generalises to functions  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The details are found in multivariable analysis.

### §3. Formalisation of the Dirac delta

**3.1 Definition.** Let  $\alpha(x) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a monotonically increasing function (non-strictly, i.e. non-decreasing), let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be the set of all possible<sup>3</sup> partitions  $P$  of  $[a, b]$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *Riemann-Stieltjes- $\alpha$ -integrable* (or the Riemann-Stieltjes integral  $\int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x)$  of  $f$  exists) if the upper and lower sums are equal (and we call that value the integral):

$$\inf_{P \in \mathfrak{P}} U(P, f, \alpha) = \sup_{P \in \mathfrak{P}} L(P, f, \alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_a^b f(x) d\alpha(x), \quad (3.1)$$

where the upper and lower sums of the partition  $P = \{[a = x_0, x_1], \dots, [x_{n-1}, x_n = b]\}$  are

$$U(P, f, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha(x_k) - \alpha(x_{k-1})) \sup_{x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x),$$

$$L(P, f, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha(x_k) - \alpha(x_{k-1})) \inf_{x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]} f(x).$$

*3.2 Remark.* We recover the Riemann integral as a special case:  $\alpha(x) = x$ .

**3.3 Definition.** Let  $c \in (a, b)$ . The Dirac delta  $\delta(c)$  is an operator on a function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that sends  $f$  to the Riemann-Stieltjes integral of  $f$  under the (Heaviside) step function:

$$\int_a^b \delta(x - c) f(x) dx \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_a^b f(x) d\Theta(x) = f(c), \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\Theta$  is the step function

$$\Theta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}. \quad (3.3)$$

*3.4 Remark.* 1. Multiplying the operator by a constant yields the constant multiplied by the operator acting on the function; similarly for a sum.

2. For a comprehensive theory of generalised functions (of which the Dirac delta is an example) see I.M. Gel'fand, G.E. Shilov, *Generalised functions*, 1964, vol. I, *Properties and operators*.

### §4. Conservative vector fields

**4.1 Theorem** (Helmholtz). *Let  $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a vector function; let  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = D$  and  $\nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}$  be known. If  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{C} = 0$ ,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 D(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ , and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^2 \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ , then the vector field may be (uniquely) broken down into two components via scalar and vector potentials:*

$$\mathbf{F} = -\nabla U + \nabla \times \mathbf{W}, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$U(\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{D(\mathbf{r}')}{z} d\tau' \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{r}')}{z} d\tau', \quad (4.2)$$

with  $z := |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$ .

<sup>3</sup>Formally,  $\mathfrak{P} = \{\{[x_0, x_1], \dots, [x_{n-1}, x_n]\} : a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$ .

*4.2 Remark.* We will later see that for static electric fields the  $\mathbf{W}$  curl component is zero, whereas for magnetic fields – the  $U$  potential component. Since for any vector function the divergence of the curl is zero, for the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{W}_{\text{magn}}$ , it follows that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{W}_{\text{magn}}) = 0$ ; similarly for the electric field:  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla U$ , we have  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \times \nabla U = 0$ ; these are two of the four Maxwell's equations (for fields that *do not* change in time<sup>4</sup>).

*Proof.* See Appendix B of Griffiths. □

---

<sup>4</sup>This already suggests what lies ahead...

## CHAPTER II

### ELECTROSTATICS

#### §5. Electric field

A charge radiates an electric field outward symmetrically in all directions; it must thus decrease as  $1/S_{\text{sphere}} = 1/4\pi r^2$  (surface area of a sphere) in all directions. The strength depends only on the magnitude of the charge, with a proportionality constant  $1/\epsilon_0$ , thus for a charge distribution (density)  $\rho : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (may be 0 outside some fixed shape), the electric field is given by

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} d\tau'. \quad (5.1)$$

The statement above may indeed be formalised as the surface integral of the field along the normal of the surface.

**5.1 Theorem** (Gauss's law). *Let  $S$  be a surface that encloses a charge  $Q$ , where  $V$  is the volume bounded by  $S$  (i.e.  $\partial V = S$ ). Then the surface integral of  $\mathbf{E}$  along the normal of  $S$  is*

$$\oint_S \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} Q. \quad (5.2)$$

*The value of the integral is independent of the surface  $S$  chosen.*<sup>1</sup>

*Proof.* The proof proceeds via multiple steps; in steps 1.–3. the tools are set up for 4. & 5., where the proof is completed for point charges or a charge distribution, respectively.

1. Consider a point charge  $q$  at the origin and a volume  $V$  of  $0 < r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$ ,  $\theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2$ , and  $\phi_1 \leq \phi \leq \phi_2$ , which corresponds to a radial sector slice of a sphere; let  $S = \partial V$ . Since  $\mathbf{E}$  points radially from the origin, it is perpendicular to the normal on the sides ( $r_1 < r < r_2$ ), and parallel on the faces  $r = r_1$  and  $r = r_2$ ; hence the surface integral depends only on the faces. The field on a face is  $\mathbf{E} = (1/4\pi\epsilon_0)(1/r^2)$ , and the area of a face is<sup>2</sup> proportional to  $r^2$ ,  $S_r = \alpha r^2$ , hence

$$\oint_S \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \oint_{S_{r_1}} + \oint_{S_{r_2}} = -E(r_1)\alpha r_1^2 + E(r_2)\alpha r_2^2 = \frac{\alpha q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left( -\frac{1}{r_1^2} r_1^2 + \frac{1}{r_2^2} r_2^2 \right) = 0,$$

where the minus is because the surface normal is opposite the electric field.

2. Consider an infinitesimally thin surface  $dS$ , where the sides are the same as before, but a (flat) face is tilted by an infinitesimal angle  $d\beta$ ; then the area of the face is proportional<sup>3</sup> to the area of the radial (flat) face:  $dS_{\text{tilt}} = dS_{\text{flat}}/\cos\beta$ . The normal is no longer along the electric field, and is approximately constant, taking the value at the centre, namely,  $\mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = E(da) \cos\beta = EdS_{\text{tilt}} \cos\beta = EdS_{\text{flat}}$ . Therefore the integral is unchanged.

Any surface may be made of such flat tilted segments; therefore for any surface that does not enclose a charge, the surface integral is 0.

<sup>1</sup>As long as it encloses the same  $Q$ .

<sup>2</sup>The correct value is  $S_r = r^2(\cos\theta_1 - \cos\theta_2)(\phi_2 - \phi_1)$ .

<sup>3</sup>Consider a triangle  $OAB$ , where  $\overline{OA} = \overline{OB}$ ; let  $ACB$  be a triangle such that  $C$  is on the  $OB$  radial line and  $\angle CAB = \beta$ , and let  $BH$ , where  $H$  is on  $AC$ , be the height drawn up from  $B$ ; then the length of  $AC$  is approximately the length of the hypotenuse  $AH$ , namely,  $AC \approx AH = AB/\cos\beta$ .

3. Consider a single point charge  $Q$  inside  $V$  bounded by the surface  $S$  given in the theorem. Since  $S$  is bounded, there exists some radius  $R > 0$  such that the sphere  $S_R$  of radius  $R$  fully encloses  $S$  (with a gap). Now consider the double surface  $S' = S_R \cup S_{\text{in}}$ , where the normal points inwards (into  $V$ ) along  $S_{\text{in}} = S$  and outwards along  $S_R$ ; hence the surface does not enclose a charge, and by 1. and 2. it follows that the surface integral is zero:

$$0 = \oint_{S_R \cup S_{\text{in}}} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \oint_{S_R} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} - \oint_S \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a}$$

hence

$$\oint_S \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \oint_{S_R} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{R^2} 4\pi R^2 = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} Q.$$

4. We now finish the proof in the case of point charges. Let there be (possibly *countably* infinitely many) charges  $q_1, q_2, \dots$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n = Q$  contained within the surface  $S$ . By the principle of superposition, we can separate the electric field: let  $\mathbf{E}_n$  be the field from charge  $q_n$ ; then, assuming that the integral distributes (is definitely true for a finite number of charges),

$$\oint_S \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \oint_S \left( \sum_n \mathbf{E}_n \right) \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \sum_n \oint_S \mathbf{E}_n \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \sum_n \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} q_n = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \sum_n q_n = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} Q.$$

The theorem is thus proven for (finitely or countably infinitely many) point charges. (NB! cf. Remark 5.3 for an alternative proof.)

5. Now, we generalise to a charge distribution. Let  $\rho$  be the charge density in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and suppose it does not contain any point charges (in that case treat them separately as in part 4.). Consider all open balls of *rational* radius  $\epsilon > 0$  centred at *rational* coordinates (namely, in  $\mathbb{Q}^3 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ ); we can write any open set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as a union of such open balls. Since there are only countably infinitely many points in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the number of balls needed to cover the interior of the volume in which  $\rho$  is non-zero, is at most countably infinite! If there is charge density on the boundary, we must take 'balls' on the boundary (dimension 2) – balls intersected with the boundary. Now, we can take each  $\epsilon > 0$  to be small enough at each ball such that  $\rho \approx \text{const} = q$  on each  $\epsilon$ -ball. Then we simply treat it as a charge  $(4/3)\pi\epsilon^3 q$  at the centre of the ball, and apply part 4. By varying the coordinates and letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we will obtain convergence.

Crucially, the sum over all the charges is countable! (Uncountable sums always diverge.<sup>4</sup>) We can enumerate all the balls  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $q_n = (4/3)\pi\epsilon^3 q_{\text{at } \epsilon\text{-ball}}$ . Therefore,

$$\oint_S \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oint_S \mathbf{E}(q_n) \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_n}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} 4\pi\epsilon^2 = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \int_V \rho \, d\tau = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} Q.$$

After all this effort, the theorem finally follows also for a charge distribution.  $\square$

*5.2 Remark.* Steps 1.–3. are adapted from the *Feynmann lectures*, vol 2.

*5.3 Remark.* Step 4. may be proven in a more formal way. Again, let there be (possibly *countably* infinitely many) charges  $q_1, q_2, \dots$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n = Q$  contained within the surface  $S$ . Let  $S_R^n$  be the sphere of radius  $R$  centred at  $q_n$  with  $R$  large enough such that the surface is completely outside  $S$ ; now for any  $n$  we have that  $\oint_S \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \oint_{S_R^n} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a}$ . Suppose  $q_n$  is isolated from other charges (there is no infinite sequence of charges that approaches it); then by picking  $R_n = (1/2) \min_{m \neq n} |\mathbf{r}'_n - \mathbf{r}'_m|$ , we have only one charge within the surface  $S_{R_n}^n$ , and thus  $\oint_{S_{R_n}^n} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = q_n/\epsilon_0$ .

<sup>4</sup>Unless only countably many terms are non-zero.



We have one edge case to deal with: suppose a sequence of isolated charges  $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  approached some other charge  $q_L$ , that is,  $\mathbf{r}'_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{r}'_L$  (for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N'$  such that  $|\mathbf{r}'_n - \mathbf{r}'_L| < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N'$ ). If partial sums  $q_n + q_{n+1} + \dots + q_{n+m}$  did not tend to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then the infinite sum  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n$  would diverge and  $Q = \infty$  – a contradiction. Hence for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N'$  such that  $|q_n + \dots + q_{n+m}| < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N''$  and  $m \geq 0$ . Let  $N = \max\{N', N''\}$ . Let  $S_L$  be centred on  $q_L$  and contain only  $q_N, q_{N+1}, \dots$ , hence the charges contribute at most  $\epsilon$  to the integral over  $S_L$ , namely,  $|\oint_{S_L} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} - q_L/\epsilon_0| \leq \epsilon$ . Letting  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrarily small, we obtain  $\oint_{S_L} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = q_L/\epsilon_0$ . Therefore we can treat  $q_L$  as an isolated charge, letting  $R_{n=L}$  be the radius of  $S_L$  above.

The integral over all charges  $q_1, q_2, \dots$  is thus

$$\oint_S \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oint_{S_{R_n}^n} \mathbf{E}(q_n) \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_n}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{R_n^2} 4\pi R_n^2 = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} Q.$$

This completes the proof.

\* \* \*

For a charge distribution, Gauss's law may be easily rewritten into a differential form, given below.

**5.4 Corollary** (Gauss's law in differential form). *Let  $\rho$  be a charge density. Then*

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0. \quad (5.3)$$

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be an arbitrary volume. By the divergence theorem,

$$\int_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} \, d\tau = \oint_{\partial V} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} Q_{\text{enc}} = \int_V \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \, d\tau,$$

which implies that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$  within  $V$ ; since  $V$  was arbitrary, it is true everywhere.  $\square$

We typically apply Gauss law to easily compute the electric field around a *symmetrical* charge distribution – where the magnitude and direction of  $\mathbf{E}$  at every point on the surface is constant and points along the normal. Thus we can recover  $\mathbf{E}$  as

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{S_{\text{surface}}} \frac{Q_{\text{enc}}}{\epsilon_0} \hat{\mathbf{n}}, \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  points along the surface normal.

## §6. Curl and potential of the electric field

We compute the curl of the electric field given by a charge density  $\rho$  as follows

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \nabla \times \left( \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{r^2} \hat{\mathbf{z}} \, d\tau' \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_V \nabla \times \left( \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}}}{r^2} \right) \rho(\mathbf{r}') \, d\tau' = 0 \quad (6.1)$$

since the curl of  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}/r^2$  is zero. This gives the third Maxwell's equation for static electric fields:  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$ .

By Helmholtz's theorem,  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla U + \nabla \times \mathbf{W}$  for some potential  $U$  and vector field  $\mathbf{W}$ , where

$$U(\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}')}{r} \, d\tau' \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}')}{r} \, d\tau' = 0, \quad (6.2)$$

thus  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V$  for some function  $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  called the (*electric*) *potential* (we use  $V$  instead of  $U$ ). Since only the derivatives of  $V$  influence  $\mathbf{E}$ , it follows that we may offset  $V$

by any constant without changing  $\mathbf{E}$  – usually we let  $V = 0$  at infinity. Then  $V(\mathbf{r})$  is the work required to bring a unit charge to  $\mathbf{r}$  from infinity.

Now, we compute

$$\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla V) = -\nabla^2 V,$$

giving *Poisson's* equation

$$\nabla^2 V = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}. \quad (6.3)$$

Indeed, we may compute  $V$  directly from (6.2), obtaining by Gauss's law

$$V(\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}')}{r} d\tau' = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}')/\epsilon_0}{r} d\tau' = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{r} d\tau'. \quad (6.4)$$

We may recover  $V$  in the form of a line integral as follows. Let  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  be a reference point, and let  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  be two points. Then the line integral of the electric field due gives

$$\int_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\ell = - \int_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{b}} \nabla V \cdot d\ell = -[V(\mathbf{b}) - V(\mathbf{a})],$$

where we set  $V(\mathcal{O}) = 0$  at the reference  $\mathcal{O}$ , which implies

$$-V(\mathbf{r}) = -[V(\mathbf{r}) - 0] = -[V(\mathbf{r}) - V(\mathcal{O})] = - \int_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathbf{r}} \nabla V \cdot d\ell = \int_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\ell,$$

hence

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = - \int_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\ell. \quad (6.5)$$

Note that (6.5) is the definition of potential chosen in the book – this shows that the argument follows both ways, hence all definitions are equivalent. The principle of superposition extends to  $V$ .